

RTGF Monitoring Checklist

This review is a:
Check In
Desk/Virtual Review
On- Site Visit.

For any issues identified during the review, provide clarification as necessary and specify corrective actions the grantee must take to resolve the issues. Also describe any necessary follow-up actions that should be provided by the REDD staff.

Completed	Checklist Item
Check In	
	Obtain a brief update on whether the grantee is achieving its goals or objectives.
	Do you have a copy of the latest grantee's budget?
	Is the grantee's rate of spending appropriate for its progress on the project?
	Does the grantee have any questions or concerns at this time?
	Obtain an update on the grantee's beneficiaries, contractors, and grantees.
Desk/Virtual	Review (includes all items under Check In, and the below items)
	Do you have a signed acknowledgment of receipt and understanding of the grant agreement, and any special conditions?
	Provide a record of all project invoices that support each requisition request under the RTGF project?
	Are requisitions from grantee delayed, inconsistent, or improperly documented?
	Do the grantee's requisitions support the goals and objectives of the grant (sample requisitions to gain a better understanding)?
	Has the grantee provided an inventory of equipment purchased in excess of \$5,000 with grant funds?
	Is performance progressing in a manner expected to support the goals and objectives of the grant?
	Are there severe programmatic or administrative issues that may cause the grant to be terminated?
	Does the grantee respond timely to requests for financial and budget/scope revision information?



	Has the grantee resolved and previous findings from the previous monitoring visits?			
	Has the grantee resolved findings from the Annual Progress Report?			
	Have you reviewed all programs and funding disbursements criteria and reviewed how funds are being disbursed (including the grantee's beneficiaries and contractors)?			
	Have you reviewed how the grantee's beneficiaries and contractors are receiving federal assistance through this program?			
On-Site Review				
Since the on-site reviews are for high-risk grantees, it is recommended that a formal monitoring report be completed in addition to the above items.				
	Complete the Grantee On-Site Review Monitoring Report			



GRANTEE ON-SITE MONITORING CHECKLIST			
Name of Grantee:			
Name of Reviewer:			
Date of Visit:			
GRANTEE INFORMATION:	YES	NO	N/A
Is there a written grant agreement with REDD?			
Has there been any turnover in key positions in the past two years?			
If yes, explain:	1		
Has the grantee had any financial management violations or any other fraud, abuse, or misuse of funds in the past?			
If yes, explain:		1	
Does the grantee have an updated detail budget that compares budgeted costs with actual costs?			
If no, explain:	1		
GRANTEE MONITORING (Financial Management System):	YES	NO	N/A
Does the grantee utilize a financial management system?			
Are federal funds identified and tracked in the accounting system? If no, explain.			
Are sources of non-federal funds identified and tracked separately in the accounting system?			



GRANTEE MONITORING (Financial Management System) (continued):		NO	N/A
Does the accounting manual describe the criteria for an obligation?			
Does the grantee use a Chart of Accounts and Accounting Manual?			
Are accounting records supported by source documentation?			
Does the system provide for prompt and timely recording and reporting of all financial transactions?			
GRANTEE MONITORING (Policy and Procedures):	YES	NO	N/A
Does the grantee have written policy and procedures to adequately administer federal grant programs (Allowable costs, Procurement, Reporting, etc.)?			
Does the grantee have a written conflict of interest policy for their employees?			
Are there sufficient internal controls in place to protect against waste, fraud, and abuse of federal funds (segregation of duties, etc.)?			
GRANTEE MONITORING (Cash Management / Expenditures):		NO	N/A
Is the cash receipts function performed by someone other than the person who is responsible for signing checks, reconciling bank accounts, or maintaining non-cash accounting records (i.e. ledgers or journals)?			
Are payment vouchers or supporting documents identified by grant number, date(s) and expense classification?			
Do supporting documents accompany checks when they are submitted for signature?			



Are the grantee's expenditures, encumbrances, and obligations for eligible activities? (REDD will need to sample based on level of risk/comfort)			
Do supporting documents accompany checks when they are submitted for signature?			
Are invoices or vouchers approved in advance by authorized officials?			
Does the grantee reconcile expenditures with information reported in quarterly and annual reports?			
What is the process for paying beneficiaries and contractors?			
At a minimum, are the grantee's record retention practices in compliance with the federal requirements (5 years after the close of the grant)?			
Has the grantee retained all records related to pending litigations, claims negotiations, audits or other actions involving records beyond the regular record retention requirements?			
GRANTEE MONITORING (Audit Requirements):	YES	NO	N/A
Is the grantee required to obtain a Uniform Guidance "Single Audit" for its most recently completed fiscal year?			
If yes, did the grantee submit the required audit report?			
Did the audit report contain any findings or questioned costs?			
If yes, has the grantee assigned someone the responsibility for resolving the findings or questioned costs?			
Did the grantee submit a timely response to the audit, including a plan for correcting any conditions reported in sustained findings?			



For any issues identified during the review, provide clarification as necessary and specify corrective actions the grantee must take to resolve the issues. Describe the nature of any technical assistance provided during the review. Also describe any necessary follow-up actions that should be provided by the REDD staff.